

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,817	07/28/2003	Hideki Ozawa	1259-03	5866
	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, SUITE 4900 1650 MARKET ST PHILADELPHIA. PA 19103			AHMED, SHEEBA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THEADELP	IIA, PA 19103		1773	
,			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
[10/628,817	OZAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sheeba Ahmed	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory precible to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some content of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some content of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some content of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some content of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some content of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some content of the period for reply will be period for reply will, by some content of the period for reply will, by some con	JN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	——· This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Wance except for formal matter	ers prosecution as to the marite :-
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims	**	
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 		
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	d/or election requirement.	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt of the oath or declaration is objected to by the 	a) accepted or b) objecte he drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been releat (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/03.	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M 8) 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
atent and Trademark Office L-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Action Summary	

Application/Control Number: 10/628,817

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schlueter, Jr. et al. (US 6,201,945)

Schlueter, Jr. et al. disclose a polyimide film containing electrically conductive doped metal oxide filler dispersed therein and wherein the polyimide film has a surface resistivity of from 10⁴ to 10¹² ohm/sq (Column 4, lines 3-7), a volume resistivity of from 10⁴ to 10¹¹ ohm.cm (Column 8, lines 50-60) and has a thickness of form about 25 to about 150 microns thick (Column 8, lines 41-45). The film may have an outer layer. The film is prepared by using a reaction product of a diamine and a dianhydride dissolved in a solvent, adding and dispersing an appropriate amount of filler, casting the mixture of a surface, removing the solvent by evaporation and eating to convert the polyamic acid to polyimide (Column 9, lines 15-30). Preferred doped metal oxides include aluminum doped zinc oxide. Additional conductive filler may be present in the polyimide layer and examples include indium tin oxide. The desired resistivity can be obtained by varying the concentration of the conductive fillers (Column 12, lines 25-60). With regards to the limitation that the film is antistatic, the Examiner takes the position that such a property limitation is inherently met by the polyimide film taught by Schlueter, Jr. et al. given that

Art Unit: 1773

the chemical composition of the film taught by Schlueter, Jr. et al. and that of the claimed invention is identical. All limitations of claims 1, 3, -6, 8-12 are either inherent or disclosed in the above reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlueter, Jr. et al. (US 6,201,945)

Schlueter, Jr. et al. disclose a polyimide film containing electrically conductive doped metal oxide filler dispersed therein and wherein the polyimide film has a surface resistivity of from 10⁴ to 10¹² ohm/sq (Column 4, lines 3-7), a volume resistivity of from 10⁴ to 10¹¹ ohm.cm (Column 8, lines 50-60) and has a thickness of form about 25 to about 150 microns thick (Column 8, lines 41-45). The film may have an outer layer. The film is prepared by using a reaction product of a diamine and a dianhydride dissolved in a solvent, adding and dispersing an appropriate amount of filler, casting the mixture of a surface, removing the solvent by evaporation and eating to convert the polyamic acid to polyimide (Column 9, lines 15-30). Preferred doped metal oxides include aluminum doped zinc oxide. Additional conductive filler may be present in the polyimide layer and

Art Unit: 1773

examples include indium tin oxide. The desired resistivity can be obtained by varying the concentration of the conductive fillers (Column 12, lines 25-60).

Schlueter, Jr. et al. do not teach that the metal oxide and indium tin oxide particle are present in a weight ratio of 0.01 to 0.1 or that the indium tin oxide particle have a particle size of no greater than 0.1 microns.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to optimize the size and amount of the metal oxide and indium tin oxide particles given that Schlueter, Jr. et al. specifically teach that the desired resistivity can be obtained by varying the concentration of the conductive fillers.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571)272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1773

November 12, 2004